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Rationale for Differentiation

- Multifaceted Nature of Giftedness
- Heterogeneous Classrooms
- Identification vs. Programming
- Lack of Internal Consistency
- Students’ Learning Profiles
- Emphasis on Rote Learning

Content, Process, and Product

- Content: The subject matter
- Process: Research skills and/or Resources
- Product: Culmination or Exhibition

Models of Differentiation

- Kaplan: Differentiated Content, Process, and Product
  (Kaplan, 1986, 2001)
- Renzulli: Multiple Menu Model
  (Renzulli, 1988; Renzulli, et al., 2009)
- Tomlinson: Differentiated Instruction
  (Tomlinson, 1995, 1999)
- Van Tassel-Baska: Integrated Curriculum Model

Key Facets of Differentiation

- Ensure advanced content
- Work with complex concepts
- Demonstrate interdisciplinary connections
- Practice good reasoning, habits of mind, and self-directed action
- Discuss conflicting ethical appeals
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Research on Differentiation

- The Curriculum Compacting Study (Reis et al., 1993)
- Extending the Pedagogy of Gifted Education to the Regular Classroom (Burns et al., 2001)
- The Effects of Grouping and Curricular Practices on Students' Math Achievement (Tieso, 2000)

Concerns about Differentiation

- Ability Grouping
- Preassessment
- Time, Time, Time
- Classroom Management
- Resources and Materials
- Lack of administrative support and school board policy

Curriculum Differentiation

- Is a process teachers use to enhance learning to improve the match between the learner’s unique characteristics and various curricular components. Differentiation involves making changes in the depth or breadth of student learning.
- Differentiation is enhanced with the use of appropriate classroom management, varied pedagogy, pretesting, flexible small groups, access to support personnel, and the availability of appropriate resources.

Some Principles of a Differentiated Classroom

- The teacher is clear about what matters in subject matter.
- The teacher understands, appreciates, and builds upon student differences.
- Assessment and instruction are inseparable.
- The teacher adjusts content, process, and product in response to students’ readiness, interests, and learning profile.
- All students participate in respectful work.
- Students and teachers are collaborators in learning.
- Goals of a differentiated classroom are maximum growth and individual success.
- Flexibility is the hallmark of a differentiated classroom.

Ways to Differentiate Teaching and Learning

- Cognitive Level
- Instructional Strategies
- Resources
- Group Size
- Products
- Homework
- Support or Guidance
- Depth
- Time Allocation
- Breadth

The Components of a Lesson or Unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Introduction</th>
<th>Teaching Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning Activities</td>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Grouping Practices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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What’s Your Criteria?

1. Nature of the Objective
2. Number of Students Needing Differentiation
3. Time for Teaching/Planning
4. Availability of Resources
5. Instructional Repertoire
6. Parental Support
7. Student Behavior
8. The Power of the Strategy to Enhance Learning

Ways in Which Individuals Can Differ

- Prior Knowledge or Skill Expertise
- Learning Rate
- Cognitive Ability
- Learning Style Preference
- Motivation, Attitudes, and Effort
- Interest, Strength, or Talent

Finding the Best Fit: Various Strategies for Addressing Individual Differences

- Acceleration
- Curriculum Compacting
- Interest Based Enrichment and Talent Development
- Open-Ended Activities and Products
- Alternatives and Choices
- Tiered Questions/Assignments

Acceleration

- Moving independently through curriculum
- Grade Skipping
- Subject Skipping
- Early Admission
- Credit by Examination (AP)
- Correspondence Courses
- Telescoping
- Early Admission to College
- International Baccalaureate
- SMPY

Curriculum Compacting: A Definition

Curriculum compacting is a system designed to adapt the regular curriculum to meet the needs of gifted students by eliminating work that has been previously mastered and streamline it at a pace commensurate with the students’ abilities.

- (Reis & Westberg, 1994)

Curriculum Compacting

- 60% of fourth graders in the school districts studied were able to achieve a score of 80% or higher on a test of the content of their math texts before they opened their books in September.

- (Reis & Westberg, 1994)
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Curriculum Compacting

78% to 88% of fifth- and sixth-grade average and above-average readers could pass pretests on basal comprehension skills before they were covered by the basal reader.

(Taylor & Frye, 1988)

Curriculum Compacting: Concerns

Some teachers do not believe that gifted students should be excused from doing work they already have mastered

Some school districts’ renewed emphasis on mastery and achievement tests has resulted in administrative pressure to spend more time on predetermined tasks

Goals of Compacting

Create a challenging learning environment in the classroom and in the enrichment program.

Define objectives and guarantee proficiency in basic curriculum.

Find time for alternative learning activities based on advanced content and individual student interest.

Rationale for Curriculum Compacting

1. Textbooks have been “dumbed down.”
2. Students experience repetition of content each year and know much of the regular curriculum content before “learning it.”
3. The quality of textbooks has not drastically improved.
4. The needs of high ability students are often not met in classrooms.
5. The pace of instruction and practice time can be modified.
6. Compacting enables differentiation to occur and provides educational accountability for students.

Student Behaviors That May Suggest That Compacting is Necessary

- Consistently finishes tasks quickly
- Finishes reading assignments first
- Appears bored during instruction time
- Consistently daydreams
- Creates own puzzles, games, or diversions in class
- Brings in outside reading material
- Has consistently high performance in one or more academic areas
- Asks questions that indicate advanced familiarity with material
- Uses vocabulary and verbal expression in advance of grade level
- Expresses interest in pursuing alternative or advanced topics

Eight Steps for Implementing Curriculum Compacting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step One</td>
<td>Identify the learning objective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Two</td>
<td>Find or develop a pretesting format.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Three</td>
<td>Identify students who may benefit from curriculum compacting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Four</td>
<td>Pretest students to determine prior knowledge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Five</td>
<td>Eliminate practice or instructional time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Six</td>
<td>Streamline instruction or assignments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Seven</td>
<td>Offer enrichment or acceleration options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Eight</td>
<td>Keep records of this process and the instructional options available to “compacted” students.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Compactor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curriculum Areas to be Considered for Compacting</th>
<th>Procedures for Compacting Basic Material</th>
<th>Acceleration and/or Enrichment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name it.</td>
<td>Prove it.</td>
<td>Change it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What material needs to be covered?</td>
<td>Exactly what material is to be included?</td>
<td>What enrichment and/or acceleration activities will be included?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What evidence shows a need for compacting?</td>
<td>How will you demonstrate mastery?</td>
<td>Independent study Acceleration Mini-courses Mentorships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Small Group Investigations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selecting a Preassessment Technique

- What is the most powerful difference you expect to see among students?
- How might you identify these potential differences in your students?

Preassessment Techniques

- K-W-L Charts
- Journals
- Parent Letters
- Lists, Surveys
- Products
- Performances
- Conferences
- Concept Maps

Making Sense of Pretest Data

1. Think like an anthropologist or ethnographer.
2. Sort the pretests into 1-4 groups based on common differences.
3. Name the learning difference.
4. Decide if/how to address this difference through differentiated teaching/learning activities or resources.

The Three-Ring Conception of Giftedness

The Enrichment Triad
**Open-Ended Activities**

- If the differences among students suggest varying style preferences or interests, the teacher might consider altering the breadth of the learning activities, the resources, or the related student products and assignments. This kind of differentiation strategy provides students with alternatives and options for addressing the learning objectives.

**Open-Ended Strategies**

- **Constructivism**
  - Connect new learning to students’ own individual experiences and interpretations
- **Higher level or inductive questioning**
- **Open-ended assignments**
- **Problem-based learning**

**Checklist for Developing a Problem...**

- Selected appropriate content?
- Determined availability of resources?
- Written a problem statement that
  - is developmentally appropriate?
  - is grounded in student experience?
  - is curriculum based?
  - allows for a variety of teaching and learning strategies and styles?
  - is ill-structured?

**PBL: A Mathematics Example**

A new elementary school is being constructed next to our middle school. It will house approximately 600 children, grades K-5. The cost of the school is $3 million, of which 7.5% is set aside for the construction of the playground. Your job is to present the builders with a number of playground designs making sure you stay within the budget and also making sure that the playground accommodates children in grades K-5 (Brooks & Brooks, 1997).

**Alternative Activities**

- Purpose: to increase the breadth by increasing the use of options and alternatives within lesson and unit plans.

**Alternatives and Choices**

- The teacher provides whole group introduction and instruction and launches individual students on alternative missions.
  - Choice of resources
  - Product options
  - Varying goals
  - Alternative activities
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One Sample Sequence

- Enhanced, whole class introduction
- Common objectives
- Common text or set of resources
- Common learning activities
- Use of inductive thinking
- Varied questions among students
- Varied products and assignments

Tiered Activities
To Alter the Depth of a Lesson

Key Features

- Whole group introduction
- Whole group initial instruction
- Identification of developmental differences

Increase/Decrease:

- Abstraction
- Extent of Support
- Sophistication
- Complexity of
- Goals/resources/activities/products

Moving Toward Differentiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simple</th>
<th>↔</th>
<th>Complex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>Abstract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-faceted</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>Multi-faceted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small leap</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>Great Leap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>Greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence</td>
<td></td>
<td>Independence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundational</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>Transformational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>Quick</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One Last Thought...

“Instruction is good only when it precedes ahead of development, when it awakens and rouses to life those functions which are in the process of maturing...it is in this way that instruction plays an extremely important role in development.”

Vygotsky, 1956